

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,061	11/12/1999	ROBERT J. PROEBSTING	939A-350-1-2	1190
20350	7590 01/02/2002			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			YENKE, BRIAN P	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/02/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>/ ·</del>						
المسترين		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/439,061	PROEBSTING, ROBERT J.			
	Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	BRIAN P. YENKE	2614			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
- External control con	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. o the mailing date of this communication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Pres	Amendment (12 November 1999	<b>)</b> .			
2a) [	<b></b>	s action is non-final.	•			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
Application Papers						
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received				
			ın No			
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(	s)	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (	and/or 121.			
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)   Notice of Informal De	PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trac						

D+4 -4 D---- N- 4

Continuation Sheet (PTO-326)

Application No. 09/439,061

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 20-25 (All Newly Added by PreAmendment; original 1-19 all being cancelled).

Application/Control Number: 09/439,061

Art Unit: 2614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The listing of references in the specification; **specifically page 8, line 14-15 refers to "An Embedded Frame Buffer For Graphics Applications"** is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 2. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. Specifically, page 8, line 14-15 refers to "An Embedded Frame Buffer For Graphics Applications" in Appendix A, which has not been received. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

#### **Drawings**

3. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

Art Unit: 2614

4. Figure 1 (as illustrated in previous applications both now patents, US 6,031,783 and US 6,026,044) should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated as stated in specification page 1, line 12. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objections

5. Claims 20 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 20 (line 7) and claim 22 (line 2), both state "purality" they should state "plurality. Appropriate correction is required.

## Double Patenting

6. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 09/439,061

Art Unit: 2614

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{V}$  as that of claims 2; 3&5&10; 5; 2&5; 2&5 and 2&5 respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,031,783. This is a double patenting rejection.

The claims are not patentably distinct. US Patent 6,031,783 claims storing video data representing one tile of video display pixel information where the video data is stored in one row per array in non-adjoining arrays, where the arrays are organized in 2 or more groups of arrays. Also, US Patent 6,031,783 claims the video data comprising any given screen display line is stored substantially entirely in either even numbered arrays or substantially entirely in odd numbered arrays. The current application claims segmenting/dividing the plurality of pixels and storing data either in the odd numbered arrays or even numbered arrays.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (703) 305-9871. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (703)305-4795.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/439,061

Art Unit: 2614

## (703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-037

B.P.Y.

30 DECEMBER 2001

JOHN W. MILLER
PATENT EXAMINER

MU, When